INSTRUCTORS
Cohen, Jonathan Palm, Recitation Instructor
Teaching in Recitation R01 meets F12 in E51-151

QUALITY OF TEACHING
*Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stimulated my interest in the subject</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helped me learn</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)*

| Overall rating of teaching                                      | 7      |

*Comments on teaching (strengths, areas for improvement)*

SUBJECT

SUBJECT
*Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject expectations were clearly defined</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject's learning objectives were met</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignments contributed to my learning</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grading thus far has been fair</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)*

| The pace of the class (content and assignments) was:            | 5      |

*Average hours you spent per week on this subject: (round to the nearest whole number)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the classroom (lectures, recitations, labs, seminars, etc.)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside of the classroom (reading, studying, papers, projects, p-sets, online activities, etc.)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent (7 is best)*

| Overall rating of the subject                                  | 5      |

*Comments on the subject (strengths, areas for improvement)*
SUBJECT: 14.472 Public Economics II  
Survey Window: Fall 2021 End of Term | Responses for Student 10559

INSTRUCTORS

Cohen, Jonathan Palm, Recitation Instructor
Teaching in Recitation R01 meets F12 in E51-151

QUALITY OF TEACHING

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)

| Stimulated my interest in the subject | 7 |
| Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material | 7 |
| Helped me learn | 6 |

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)

Overall rating of teaching

Comments on teaching (strengths, areas for improvement)

SUBJECT

SUBJECT

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)

| Subject expectations were clearly defined | 5 |
| Subject's learning objectives were met | 5 |
| Assignments contributed to my learning | 4 |
| Grading thus far has been fair | 6 |

Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)

The pace of the class (content and assignments) was:

Average hours you spent per week on this subject: (round to the nearest whole number)

| In the classroom (lectures, recitations, labs, seminars, etc.) | 4 |
| Outside of the classroom (reading, studying, papers, projects, p-sets, online activities, etc.) | 5 |

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent (7 is best)

Overall rating of the subject

Comments on the subject (strengths, areas for improvement)

Student: 10559
INSTRUCTORS
Cohen, Jonathan Palm, Recitation Instructor
Teaching in Recitation R01 meets F12 in E51-151

QUALITY OF TEACHING
Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)
Stimulated my interest in the subject 7
Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material 6
Helped me learn 7

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)
Overall rating of teaching 7

Comments on teaching (strengths, areas for improvement)
Jon was one of the best TAs I had in this program.

SUBJECT

Subject expectations were clearly defined 4
Subject's learning objectives were met 4
Assignments contributed to my learning 5
Grading thus far has been fair 5

Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)
The pace of the class (content and assignments) was: 7

Average hours you spent per week on this subject: (round to the nearest whole number)
In the classroom (lectures, recitations, labs, seminars, etc.) 4
Outside of the classroom (reading, studying, papers, projects, p-sets, online activities, etc.) 3

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent (7 is best)
Overall rating of the subject 4

Comments on the subject (strengths, areas for improvement)
INSTRUCTORS
Cohen, Jonathan Palm, Recitation Instructor
Teaching in Recitation R01 meets F12 in E51-151

QUALITY OF TEACHING
Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)
Stimulated my interest in the subject 7
Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material 7
Helped me learn 7

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)
Overall rating of teaching 7

Comments on teaching (strengths, areas for improvement)

SUBJECT

SUBJECT
Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)
Subject expectations were clearly defined 7
Subject's learning objectives were met 7
Assignments contributed to my learning 6
Grading thus far has been fair 7

Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)
The pace of the class (content and assignments) was: 4

Average hours you spent per week on this subject: (round to the nearest whole number)
In the classroom (lectures, recitations, labs, seminars, etc.)
Outside of the classroom (reading, studying, papers, projects, p-sets, online activities, etc.)

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent (7 is best)
Overall rating of the subject 7

Comments on the subject (strengths, areas for improvement)
SUBJECT: 14.472 Public Economics II
Survey Window: Fall 2021 End of Term | Responses for Student 46253

INSTRUCTORS
Cohen, Jonathan Palm, Recitation Instructor
Teaching in Recitation R01 meets F12 in E51-151

QUALITY OF TEACHING
Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)
Stimulated my interest in the subject 7
Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material 7
Helped me learn 7

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)
Overall rating of teaching 7

Comments on teaching (strengths, areas for improvement)
Jon was great, and had very engaging recitation sections!

SUBJECT

Subject expectations were clearly defined 7
Subject's learning objectives were met 7
Assignments contributed to my learning 6
Grading thus far has been fair 7

Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)
The pace of the class (content and assignments) was: 4

Average hours you spent per week on this subject: (round to the nearest whole number)
In the classroom (lectures, recitations, labs, seminars, etc.) 3
Outside of the classroom (reading, studying, papers, projects, p-sets, online activities, etc.) 5

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent (7 is best)
Overall rating of the subject 6

Comments on the subject (strengths, areas for improvement)
The course was very interesting! It would have been useful to have slightly more time on the
problem set, since it was the only problem set for the course. It also may have been useful to make that problem set slightly shorter and have a couple of additional problem sets to help work through the material for other concepts.